

Land Allocations Consultation Room to Live, Space to Breathe Consultation Response Form

Your contact details

If you are completing a paper copy of this form please use CAPITALS and BLACK INK.

Your details	Your Agent's details (if you have one)			
Organisation:	Organisation:			
Name: Robert Woodruff	Name:			
Address:	Address:			
Penwortham				
Preston				
Postcode:	Postcode:			
Tel:	Tel:			
*Email:	*Email:			

*We aim to minimise the amount of paper printed and sent out. Therefore, where an email address is supplied, future contact will be made electronically.

This response contains	3	pages including this one.
------------------------	---	---------------------------

Please tick the box if you would like us to notify you when the Land Allocations Development Plan Document is submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination and when it is adopted by the Council.

Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

- 1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January April 2011);
- 2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
- 3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make. (Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?							
Settlement (e.g. Natland)		Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)					
Cartmel		R690					
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)							
Support	Support in part		Oppose √				
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)							
This is not vacant land at present but is occupied by a long-standing and successful static caravan and camp site. It provides a livelihood for the owners and is a valuable tourism asset for the village of Cartmel, which brings business to the shops, pubs and restaurants in the village, as well as to the wider area. To develop this site for housing would involve the eviction of 33 static caravan owners. I am one of the caravan owners and a South Lakeland ratepayer (via Cartmel Caravan and Camping Park). I therefore have a legitimate interest in the proposal, although my permanent address is outside the area. I understand that the site is on the flood plain.							

Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

- 4. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
- 5. Time span of the Land Allocations document
- 6. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.

(Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

2. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?						
Settlement (e.g. Natland)		Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)				
Cartmel		R691				
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)						
Support	Support in part		Oppose √			
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)						
This is not vacant land at present but is occupied by a long-standing and successful static caravan and camp site. It provides a livelihood for the owners and is a valuable tourism asset for the village of Cartmel, which brings business to the shops, pubs and restaurants in the village, as well as to the wider area. This proposal is associated with proposal R690. To approve it in isolation would reduce the						
size, economic impact and viability of the camp site business.						